



TITLE:

# NONREFLECTING STATIONARY SETS IN $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa\lambda}$ (Axiomatic Set Theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Shelah, Saharon; Shioya, Masahiro

---

CITATION:

Shelah, Saharon ...[et al]. NONREFLECTING STATIONARY SETS IN  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa\lambda}$  (Axiomatic Set Theory). 数理解析研究所講究録 2001, 1202: 61-65

ISSUE DATE:

2001-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/40958>

RIGHT:

# NONREFLECTING STATIONARY SETS IN $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$

SAHARON SHELAH AND MASAHIRO SHIOYA

**ABSTRACT.** A nonreflecting stationary subset of  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\kappa^+$  is constructed e.g. when  $\kappa$  is the successor of a regular uncountable cardinal.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\kappa > \omega$  be a regular cardinal. The reflection principle for stationary subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ , where  $\lambda > \kappa$  is a cardinal, was introduced and shown consistent relative to a supercompact cardinal in case  $\kappa = \omega_1$  by Foreman, Magidor and Shelah [3]. The corresponding principle for  $\kappa > \omega_1$  was refuted in ZFC by Feng and Magidor [1] when  $\kappa$  is a successor cardinal, and in general by Foreman and Magidor [2]. Specifically, “combinatorialization” of the latter argument (see Section 4 below) yields

**Theorem 1.**  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$  has a nonreflecting stationary subset when  $\kappa > \omega_1$  and  $\lambda \geq 2^{\kappa^+}$ .

What about  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\kappa^+$ ? In this note, we give a parallel result for  $\kappa = \nu^+$  with  $\nu > \omega$  regular. More generally, we show

**Theorem 2.** Assume  $\text{cf}[\lambda]^\kappa = \lambda$ ,  $\omega < \nu < \kappa$  is regular and  $\text{cf}[\gamma]^{<\nu} < \kappa$  for all  $\gamma < \kappa$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$  has a nonreflecting stationary subset.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Our terminology generally follows Kanamori [5] with the following exceptions. For the rest of this paper,  $\kappa$  denotes a regular cardinal  $> \omega_1$ ,  $\lambda$  a cardinal  $> \kappa$ ,  $\mu$  a cardinal from  $\lambda - \kappa$  and  $\nu$  a regular cardinal from  $\kappa - \omega_1$ . We let  $[\lambda]^\mu = \{x \subset \lambda : |x| = \mu\}$ ,  $\text{cf}[\lambda]^\mu$  the minimal size of its unbounded subsets and  $S_\kappa^\nu = \{\gamma < \kappa : \text{cf} \gamma = \nu\}$ . Also  $\lim A$  denotes the set of limit points of a set  $A$  of ordinals, and for a map  $f$  defined on a subset of  $\lambda^{<\omega}$ ,  $\text{cl}_f x$  the closure of  $x \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$  under

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E05.

The first author was supported by the Israel Science Foundation founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. Publication 764. The second author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 12640098), Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

$f$  and  $C(f)$  the set  $\{x \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa \lambda : x \cap \kappa \in \kappa \wedge \text{cl}_f x = x\}$ . The reflection principle we consider states that for all  $\lambda > \kappa$  and  $S \subset \mathcal{P}_\kappa \lambda$  stationary there is  $\kappa \subset A \in [\lambda]^\kappa$  such that  $S \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa A$  is stationary. A stationary set witnessing its failure is called nonreflecting. More generally,  $S \subset \mathcal{P}_\kappa \lambda$  is called  $\mu$ -nonreflecting if  $S \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa A$  is nonstationary for all  $\mu \subset A \in [\lambda]^\mu$ . A  $\mu^+$ -complete filter on  $[\lambda]^\mu$  extending  $\{\{x \in [\lambda]^\mu : \alpha \in x\} : \alpha < \lambda\}$  is called fine. The specific example relevant to us was introduced in [7]:

**Lemma 1.** *The sets  $\{\bigcup_{i < \omega} A_i : \{A_i : i < \omega\} \subset [\lambda]^\mu \wedge \forall n < \omega (\tau(\langle A_i : i < n \rangle) \subset A_n)\}$ , where  $\tau : ([\lambda]^\mu)^{<\omega} \rightarrow [\lambda]^\mu$ , generate a fine filter on  $[\lambda]^\mu$ .*

We need an analogue of Ulam's result (see [4] or [6] for a proof):

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $F$  be a fine filter on  $[\lambda]^\mu$ . Then  $\lambda$  many mutually disjoint  $F$ -positive sets exist.*

### 3. MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove Theorem 2 in an even more general form:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\omega < \nu < \kappa \leq \mu < \lambda$  be as in Section 2. Assume  $\{\bigcup_{\alpha \in a} E_\alpha : a \in [\lambda]^{<\nu}\}$  is unbounded in  $[\lambda]^\mu$  for some  $\{E_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\mu$  and  $\{z \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa \mu : \{c_\xi : \xi \in z\} \text{ is unbounded in } [z]^{<\nu}\}$  has a stationary subset  $T$  of size  $\mu$  for some  $\{c_\xi : \xi < \mu\} \subset [\mu]^{<\nu}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa \lambda$  has a  $\mu$ -nonreflecting stationary subset.*

*Proof.* Define  $e : \lambda \times \mu \rightarrow \lambda$  so that  $e''\{\alpha\} \times \mu = E_\alpha$ . Let  $F$  be the filter on  $[\lambda]^\mu$  as in Lemma 1. Fix a mutually disjoint  $\{X_z : z \in T\} \subset F^+$  by Lemma 2. We show that  $S = \{x \in C(e) : x \cap \mu \in T \wedge \exists b \in [x]^{<\nu} (x \subset \text{cl}_e(b \cup \mu) \in X_{x \cap \mu})\}$  is as desired.

To show  $S$  stationary, fix  $f : \lambda^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\kappa \lambda$ . Consider the following game  $G_{f,z}$  for  $z \in T$ : I and II take in turn  $\mu \subset A_n \in [\lambda]^\mu$  and a triple of  $b_n \in [\lambda]^{<\nu}$ , a bijection  $\pi_n : \mu \rightarrow \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu)$  and  $x_n \in C(f)$  respectively so that  $A_n \subset \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu) \subset A_{n+1}$ ,  $b_n \subset x_n \subset \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu)$ ,  $\pi_n''(x_n \cap \mu) = x_n$  and  $\langle b_i : i < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle x_i : i < \omega \rangle$  are increasing. II wins iff  $x_n \cap \mu = z$  for all  $n < \omega$ . We first claim  $T \cap D \subset \{z \in T : \text{II has a winning strategy in } G_{f,z}\}$  for some club  $D \subset \mathcal{P}_\kappa \mu$ .

Suppose to the contrary  $T' = \{z \in T : \text{II has no winning strategy in } G_{f,z}\}$  is stationary. For  $z \in T'$ , we have a winning strategy  $\sigma_z$  for I in  $G_{f,z}$ , since the game is closed for II and hence determined. By induction on  $n < \omega$ , build  $(b_n, \pi_n, x_n^z)$  for  $z \in T'$  as follows: First take  $b_{n-1} \subset b_n \in [\lambda]^{<\nu}$  with  $\bigcup_{z \in T'} \sigma_z(\langle (b_i, \pi_i, x_i^z) : i < n \rangle) \subset \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu) \in C(f)$ . Next take a bijection  $\pi_n : \mu \rightarrow \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu)$ . For  $z \in T'$ , take  $b_n \subset x_n^z \in C(f) \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu)$  with  $\pi_n''(x_n^z \cap \mu) = x_n^z$ , and if possible,

$x_n^z \cap \mu = z$ . Now set  $b = \bigcup_{n < \omega} b_n \in [\lambda]^{<\nu}$  and  $A = \text{cl}_e(b \cup \mu) \in [\lambda]^\mu$ . Take  $b \subset x \in C(f) \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa A$  with  $\pi_n''(x \cap \mu) = x \cap \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu)$  for all  $n < \omega$  and  $z = x \cap \mu \in T'$ . Then  $x_n^z = x \cap \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu)$  for all  $n < \omega$ , since  $x \cap \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu)$  is the unique set satisfying all the requirements for  $x_n^z$  including the extra one. Thus II wins the game  $G_{f,z}$  with the moves  $\langle (b_n, \pi_n, x_n^z) : n < \omega \rangle$ , yet I plays according to the winning strategy  $\sigma_z$ . Contradiction.

Now fix  $z \in T$  with a winning strategy  $\sigma$  for II in  $G_{f,z}$ . Define  $\tau : ([\lambda]^\mu)^{<\omega} \rightarrow [\lambda]^\mu$  by  $\tau(t) = \text{cl}_e(b \cup \mu)$ , where  $\sigma(t) = (b, \pi, x)$ . Since  $X_z \in F^+$ , we have  $\{A_i : i < \omega\} \subset [\lambda]^\mu$  such that  $\bigcup_{i < \omega} A_i \in X_z$  and  $\tau(\langle A_i : i < n \rangle) \subset A_n$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Set  $(b_n, \pi_n, x_n) = \sigma(\langle A_i : i \leq n \rangle)$  for  $n < \omega$ . Then  $x = \bigcup_{n < \omega} x_n \in S \cap C(f)$  as desired, since  $x \cap \mu = z \in T$  and  $x \subset \text{cl}_e(b \cup \mu) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \text{cl}_e(b_n \cup \mu) = \bigcup_{i < \omega} A_i \in X_z$ , where  $b = \bigcup_{n < \omega} b_n \in [x]^{<\nu}$ .

To show  $S$   $\mu$ -nonreflecting, suppose to the contrary  $S \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa A$  is stationary for some  $\mu \subset A \in [\lambda]^\mu$ . Then  $e''(A \times \mu) \subset A$ , since  $C(e) \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa A$  is unbounded in  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa A$ . We next give  $a \in [A]^{<\nu}$  with  $\text{cl}_e(a \cup \mu) = A$ .

Fix a bijection  $\pi : \mu \rightarrow A$ . Set  $B = \pi^{-1}\mu \in [\mu]^\mu$ . Define  $h : \mu \times B \rightarrow \mu$  by  $\pi(h(\xi, \zeta)) = e(\pi(\xi), \pi(\zeta))$ . Let  $S'$  be the stationary  $\{x \cap \mu : \pi''(x \cap \mu) = x \in S \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa A\} \subset T$ . For  $z \in S'$ , take  $\xi_z \in z$  with  $z \subset \text{cl}_h(c_{\xi_z} \cup B)$  by  $\pi''z \in S$ . Take  $\xi < \mu$  so that  $S^* = \{z \in S' : \xi_z = \xi\}$  is stationary. Then  $\mu = \text{cl}_h(c_\xi \cup B)$ , since  $z \subset \text{cl}_h(c_\xi \cup B)$  for all  $z \in S^*$ . Hence  $A = \text{cl}_e(\pi''c_\xi \cup \mu)$ , as desired.

Now we have the desired contradiction to the mutual disjointness of  $\{X_z : z \in T\}$ :  $A \in X_{x \cap \mu}$  for all  $x \in S \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa A$  with  $a \subset x$ , since for some  $b \in [x]^{<\nu}$ ,  $A = \text{cl}_e(a \cup \mu) = \text{cl}_e(b \cup \mu)$  by  $a \subset \text{cl}_e(b \cup \mu)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. REMARKS

Let us first deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 3: Assume  $\text{cf}[\gamma]^{<\nu} < \kappa$  for all  $\gamma < \kappa$ . Then we have  $\{c_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \subset [\kappa]^{<\nu}$  and  $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  such that  $\{c_\xi : \xi < f(\gamma)\}$  is unbounded in  $[\gamma]^{<\nu}$ . Then  $T = \{\gamma \in S_\kappa^\nu : f''\gamma \subset \gamma\}$  is the desired stationary subset of  $\{\gamma < \kappa : \{c_\xi : \xi < \gamma\} \text{ is unbounded in } [\gamma]^{<\nu}\}$ .

The rest of the section is devoted to the

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Fix a bijection  $\pi_\gamma : \kappa \rightarrow \gamma$  for  $\gamma \in \kappa^+ - \kappa$  and a surjection  $g : \lambda \rightarrow {}^\kappa\kappa$ . Define  $h : [\kappa^+]^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\kappa \kappa^+$  by  $h(\alpha, \beta) = \lim \pi_\beta''\pi_\beta^{-1}(\alpha)$ . Let  $D$  be the club  $\{x \in C(h) : \forall \gamma \in x \cap (\kappa^+ - \kappa)(\pi_\gamma''(x \cap \kappa) = x \cap \gamma) \wedge \forall \xi \in x(x \in C(g(\xi)))\}$ . We show that  $S = \{x \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa \lambda : \sup\{\sup(y \cap \kappa^+) : x \subset y \in D \wedge y \cap \kappa = x \cap \kappa\} < \kappa^+\}$  is as

To show  $S$  stationary, suppose otherwise. By induction on  $n < \omega$ , build  $f_n : \lambda^{<\omega} \rightarrow \lambda$  closed under composition so that  $C(f_0) \subset D - S$  and for all  $m < \omega$  there is  $n < \omega$  such that  $f_m(t * \langle \gamma \rangle) = g_{f_n(t)}(\gamma)$  for all  $t \in \lambda^{<\omega}$  and  $\gamma < \kappa^+$  with  $f_m(t * \langle \gamma \rangle) < \kappa$ . Define  $f : \lambda^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1} \lambda$  by  $f(t) = \{f_n(t) : n < \omega\}$ . Fix  $x \in C(f)$ . We claim that  $\sup\{\sup(z \cap \kappa^+) : x \subset z \in C(f) \wedge z \cap \kappa = x \cap \kappa\} = \kappa^+$ .

Fix  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ . By  $x \notin S$ , we have  $x \subset y \in D$  with  $y \cap \kappa = x \cap \kappa$  and  $\alpha < \gamma \in y \cap \kappa^+$ . Then  $z = \text{cl}_f(x \cup \{\gamma\})$  is as desired: To see  $z \cap \kappa \subset y \cap \kappa$ , fix  $\beta \in z \cap \kappa$ . Then  $\beta = f_m(t * \langle \gamma \rangle)$  for some  $m < \omega$  and  $t \in x^{<\omega}$ . Hence  $\beta = g_{f_n(t)}(\gamma) \in y$  for some  $n < \omega$ , since  $\{f_n(t), \gamma\} \subset y \in D$ .

For  $i < 2$ , build an increasing and continuous  $\{x_\xi^i : \xi < \omega_1\} \subset C(f)$  so that  $x_\xi^i \cap \kappa = x_0^0 \cap \kappa \in S_\kappa^{\omega_1}$ ,  $\sup(x_\xi^0 \cap \kappa^+) < \sup(x_\xi^1 \cap \kappa^+) < \sup(x_{\xi+1}^0 \cap \kappa^+)$  and  $x_1^0 \cap \sup(x_0^1 \cap \kappa^+) \neq x_0^1 \cap \sup(x_0^1 \cap \kappa^+)$  as follows: First fix  $x_0^0 \in C(f)$  with  $\text{cf}(x_0^0 \cap \kappa) = \omega_1$ . Take  $x_0^0 \subset x_1^0 \in C(f)$  with  $x_1^0 \cap \kappa = x_0^0 \cap \kappa$  so that  $\sup(x_1^0 \cap \kappa^+)$  is the  $\kappa$ -th element of  $\{\sup(z \cap \kappa^+) : x_0^0 \subset z \in C(f) \wedge z \cap \kappa = x_0^0 \cap \kappa\}$ . Take  $x_0^0 \subset x_0^1 \in C(f)$  with  $x_0^1 \cap \kappa = x_0^0 \cap \kappa$  so that  $\sup(x_0^1 \cap \kappa^+) < \sup(x_0^1 \cap \kappa^+) \in \sup(x_1^0 \cap \kappa^+) - \lim(x_1^0 \cap \kappa^+)$ . The rest of the construction is routine.

Now set  $x^i = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} x_\xi^i$ . Then  $x^0 \cap \kappa^+ \neq x^1 \cap \kappa^+$ , since  $x_\xi^i \cap \kappa^+$  is an initial segment of  $x^i \cap \kappa^+$ . Next to show  $x^i \cap \kappa^+$  countably closed in  $\sup(x^0 \cap \kappa^+) = \sup(x^1 \cap \kappa^+)$ , fix  $a \subset x^i \cap \kappa^+$  of order type  $\omega$ . We have  $a \subset \beta \in x^i \cap \kappa^+$  by  $\text{cf}(\sup(x^i \cap \kappa^+)) = \omega_1$ , and  $\alpha \in x^i \cap \beta = \pi_\beta^-(x^i \cap \kappa)$  with  $\pi_\beta^{-1}a \subset \pi_\beta^{-1}(\alpha)$  by  $x^i \cap \kappa \in S_\kappa^{\omega_1}$ . Then  $\sup a \in h(\alpha, \beta) \subset x^i$ , as desired. Now we have the desired contradiction  $x^i \cap \kappa^+ = \bigcup_{\gamma \in C} \pi_\gamma^-(x^i \cap \kappa) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in C} \pi_\gamma^-(x_0^0 \cap \kappa)$ , where  $C \subset x^0 \cap x^1 \cap \kappa^+$  is unbounded in  $\sup(x^0 \cap \kappa^+) = \sup(x^1 \cap \kappa^+)$ .

To show  $S$  nonreflecting, suppose to the contrary  $S \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa A$  is stationary for some  $\kappa \subset A \in [\lambda]^\kappa$ . Fix a bijection  $\pi : \kappa \rightarrow A$ . Then  $S' = \{\gamma < \kappa : \pi^-\gamma \in S \wedge \pi^-(\gamma \cap \kappa) = \gamma\}$  and  $\{y \cap \kappa^+ : \pi^-(y \cap \kappa) \subset y \in D \wedge y \cap \kappa \in S'\}$  are stationary in  $\kappa$  and  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa \kappa^+$  respectively. Hence  $\sup\{\sup(y \cap \kappa^+) : \pi^-(y \cap \kappa) \subset y \in D \wedge y \cap \kappa \in S'\} = \kappa^+$ . Thus we have  $\gamma \in S'$  such that  $\sup\{\sup(y \cap \kappa^+) : \pi^-(y \cap \kappa) \subset y \in D \wedge y \cap \kappa = \gamma\} = \kappa^+$ , contradicting  $\pi^-\gamma \in S$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Q. Feng and M. Magidor, *On reflection of stationary sets*, Fund. Math. 140 (1992) 175–181.
- [2] M. Foreman and M. Magidor, *Large cardinals and definable counterexamples to the continuum hypothesis*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 76 (1995) 47–97.
- [3] M. Foreman, M. Magidor and S. Shelah, *Martin's maximum, saturated ideals, and nonregular ultrafilters. I*, Ann. Math. 127 (1988) 1–47.
- [4] C. Johnson, *On saturated ideals and  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa \lambda$* , Fund. Math. 129 (1988) 215–221.

- [5] A. Kanamori, *The Higher Infinite*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [6] Y. Matsubara, *Menas' conjecture and generic ultrapowers*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 36 (1987) 225–234.
- [7] S. Shelah, *A compactness theorem for singular cardinals, free algebras, Whitehead problem and transversals*, Israel J. Math. 21 (1975) 319–349.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY, JERUSALEM, 91904 ISRAEL.

*E-mail address:* shelah@math.huji.ac.il

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, 305-8571 JAPAN.

*E-mail address:* shioya@math.tsukuba.ac.jp